

Post-Results Policy

Cheltenham Bournside School

Post-Results Policy

Centre name	Cheltenham Bournside School
Centre number	57309
Date procedures first created	19/09/2023
Current procedures approved by	Miss K Hanley
Current procedures reviewed by	Mrs F Brown
Date of review	01/10/2024
Date of next review	01/10/2025

Key staff involved in the procedures

Role	Name
Head of centre	S Jefferies
Senior leader(s)	K Hanley
Exams officer	F Brown
Other staff (if applicable)	N Fordyce

These procedures are reviewed and updated annually to ensure that Cheltenham Bournside School deals with candidates' requests for access to scripts, clerical re-checks, reviews of marking, reviews of moderation and appeals to the awarding bodies in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Reference in these procedures to GR and PRS refer to the JCQ documents **General Regulations for Approved Centres** and **Post-Results Services**.

Introduction

Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available.

The JCQ post-results services currently available are detailed below.

Access to Scripts (ATS):

- · Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking
- Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning

Reviews of Results (RoRs):

- Service 1 (Clerical re-check): This is the only service that can be requested for multiple choice tests
- Service 2 (Review of marking): This service is available for externally assessed components of both unitised and linear GCE A-level specifications, GCSE specifications and Level 1, 2 Vocational and Technical qualifications
- Priority Service 2 (Review of marking): This service is available for externally assessed components of both unitised and linear GCE A-level specifications and Level 3 Vocational and Technical qualifications.
- Service 3 (Review of moderation): This service is not available to an individual candidate

Appeals:

• The appeals process is available after receiving the outcome of a review of results

Purpose of the procedures

The purpose of these procedures is to confirm how Cheltenham Bournside School deals with candidates' requests for access to scripts, clerical re-checks, reviews of marking, reviews of moderation and appeals to the awarding bodies in compliance with JCQ regulations (GR 5.13).

Details of these procedures are made widely available and accessible to all candidates by: signposting all candidates to the Exam Handbook on the school website and including a letter and form in with the results to be given out on Results Day.

The arrangements for post-results services

- Candidates must be made aware of the arrangements for post-results services prior to the issue of results (GR 5.13)
- A review of moderation cannot be undertaken upon the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample (PRS 4.3)
- The appeals process is available after receiving the outcome of a review of results (PRS 5.1)

At Cheltenham Bournside School:

- · Candidates are made aware of the arrangements for post-results services prior to the issue of results
- Candidates are also informed of the periods during which senior members of centre staff will be available
 immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed, and decisions made on the
 submission of reviews of marking (GR 5.13, PRS 4.1)

Candidates are made aware/informed by:

· signposting to the Exams Handbook on the school website;

using Assemblies to inform the candidates; a letter and form to be included with the Results slip.

Full details of the post-results services, internal deadline(s) for requesting a service and the fees charged (where applicable) are provided by:

- Fiona Brown
- on Results Day

Dealing with requests

All post-results service requests from internal candidates must be made through the centre (GR 5.13)

At Cheltenham Bournside School the process to request a service is:

• to complete a paper or online form clearly indicating if it is for Access to Scripts and/or Review of Marking and the subject. This will be available on Results Day and then via on online from or from the Exams Office once school has returned.

Candidate consent

Candidates must provide their written consent for clerical re-checks, reviews of marking, and any
subsequent appeal, and access to scripts services offered by the awarding bodies after the publication of
examination results (GR 5.13)

(As applicable, it will be ensured that any private candidates are made aware that all post-results service requests can be made directly through the relevant awarding body)

Cheltenham Bournside School will:

- Acquire written candidate consent (accepting informed consent via candidate email) in all cases before a
 request for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, or an access to scripts
 service request is submitted to the awarding body
- Acquire informed candidate consent to confirm the candidate understands that the final subject grade and/or mark awarded following a clerical re- check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be lower than, higher than, or the same as the result which was originally awarded
- Only collect candidate consent **after** the publication of results
- Retain consent forms or e-mails from candidates for at least six months following the outcome of a clerical re-check or review of marking or any subsequent appeal (PRS 4.2)
- Retain consent/permission forms or e-mails from candidates to request and use their scripts for at least six months (PRS Appendix B)

Additional centre-specific actions:

Email consent or the use of the online form is considered to be written consent. This must be from the student.

Submitting requests

Cheltenham Bournside School will:

· Submit requests electronically for clerical re-checks, reviews of marking, reviews of moderation and access

to scripts by the published deadline(s) in accordance with the JCQ document **Post-results services** (GR 5.13)

- Submit requests for appeals in accordance with the JCQ document A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes (GR 5.13)
- Confirm the awarding body's acknowledgement of receipt of a review of results request prior to the deadline for submission of post-results services and regularly check the progress of the request online (PRS 4.5)

Additional centre-specific actions:

In the occasions where a payment is required, the request will not be submitted until the payment is received.

Dealing with outcomes

Cheltenham Bournside School will:

• Ensure outcomes of clerical re-checks, reviews of marking, reviews of moderation and appeals are made known to candidates as soon as possible (GR 5.13)

Candidates will be notified by:

email.

Additional centre-specific actions:

Managing disputes

At Cheltenham Bournside School any dispute/disagreement will be managed in accordance with the internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support an application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal..

Additional centre-specific actions:

This policy applies to all GCSEs, A levels, BTEC Nationals, BTEC Technicals, OCR Cambridge Nationals and Technicals, WJEC and AQA level 3 qualifications and FSMQ qualifications.

Changes 2024/2025

(Updated) Under the heading **Reviews of Results** (RoRs), Service 2 and Priority Service 2 qualification details updated.

(Updated) Under the heading Candidate consent: Bullet points updated:

- Candidates must provide their written consent for clerical re-checks, reviews of marking, and any subsequent appeal, and access to scripts services offered by the awarding bodies after the publication of examination results (GR 5.13) (As applicable, it will be ensured that any private candidates are made aware that all post-results service requests can be made directly through the relevant awarding body)
- Acquire written candidate consent (accepting informed consent via candidate email) in all cases before a
 request for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, or an access to scripts
 service request is submitted to the awarding body

Centre-specific changes